

# COMMON MECHANISMS OF HORMONE SECRETION

◆6665

*J. M. Trifaró*

Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, McGill University, Montreal, H3G 1Y6,  
Quebec, Canada

## INTRODUCTION

With the exception of the thyroid gland, which stores hormones extracellularly in the lumen of its follicles, the endocrine tissues store their hormones intracellularly (1). Two main forms of intracellular storage must be recognized: 1. The steroid-secreting glands which store very little amounts of hormone but large quantities of precursor. The adrenal cortex, the ovary, and the testis all belong to this category of endocrine glands in which the presence of secretory granules has not been demonstrated. However, these tissues store large amounts of esterified cholesterol in lipid droplets, and this represents a depot of hormone precursor (1, 2). 2. Endocrine tissues which store large quantities of hormones in membrane-bound organelles, the secretory granules. A similar way of storing secretory products may be observed in exocrine glands (3, 4) and also in nervous tissues which synthesize and release novel transmitter substances (5, 6).

The main concern of this review is with the secretory events which occur in those cells storing hormones in subcellular granules. Among this type of cell are those of the adenohypophysis, the islets of Langerhans of the pancreas, the adrenal medulla, the parathyroid gland, the parafollicular cells of the thyroid, and the neurosecretory cells of the hypothalamus-neurohypophyseal system. Because these tissues share the common feature of storing their hormones in granules, it is possible that the cellular and molecular mechanisms of secretion are also similar. Therefore, this article seeks to unify published observations concerning the events involved in the secretory process of these tissues. Because of space limitations, it has been necessary to make an arbitrary selection of references to discuss and illustrate the ideas presented here; in many cases there are other references of equal value which would also support these ideas.

## STORAGE OF HORMONES IN GRANULES

Evidence for the storage of hormones in subcellular particles has come to light from results obtained through morphological and biochemical techniques. Centrifugation studies have demonstrated both that the hormone activity in the homogenates of endocrine glands can be sedimented and that the hormone-containing particles of these sediments can be further separated from other subcellular particles by density-gradient centrifugation techniques (1, 7–10). Electron microscopic analysis of the subcellular fractions containing the hormone activity has revealed the presence of membrane-bound granules similar to those observed in intact tissues (7–10). Granule preparations of a quite different degree of purity have been isolated from different endocrine tissues (7–11). Chromaffin granule fractions of a high degree of purity have been isolated from the adrenal medulla (7, 12, 13). The subsequent chemical analysis of these granules (14) has provided a large and valuable body of information on the mechanisms of storage (14, 15) and release of adrenal medullary hormones (14). Therefore it is important that efforts be made to obtain granule preparations of a high degree of purity from other endocrine tissues.

In order to assess the importance of storage granules it is necessary to point out some of the advantages of this type of hormone storage: (a) the hormones may reach very high concentrations within the granules (that is, up to 0.5 M in chromaffin granules); consequently the capacity for hormone storage of these tissues is very high; (b) the hormone is protected from enzyme inactivation or catabolism (that is, monoamine oxidase in the adrenal medulla); (c) since the granules are of approximately the same volume in any given tissue, each granule provides a fixed amount of hormone (quantum) to be released; (d) the granules facilitate the intracellular transport of large quantities of hormones, especially in the case of the granules of the neurohypophysis which contain vasopressin and oxytocin, although it is likely that some kind of mechanism exists in all secretory tissues for the transport of granules from the Golgi apparatus to the cell periphery; and (e) by fusing with the plasma membrane during the release reaction (see below), the granules thus expose their contents directly to the cell exterior.

## THE TRANSMEMBRANE POTENTIAL IN SECRETORY CELLS

The transmembrane potentials of the secretory cells are generally much lower than those of the muscle and nerve cells. The published values of resting membrane potentials of the secretory cells under discussion here ranged from  $-12$  to  $-41$  mV (16, 17). The origin of the potential in secretory cells seems to be the same as that in excitable cells, since the intracellular concentration of  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  are similar to those in nerve and muscle (16, 17). However, secretory cells have higher ratios of membrane permeability of  $\text{Na}^+$  to  $\text{K}^+$  ( $P_{\text{Na}^+}/P_{\text{K}^+}$ ) than nerve and muscle (16), which would explain the low resting potential observed in secretory cells. The high  $P_{\text{Na}^+}/P_{\text{K}^+}$  ratio, together with the large area to volume ratio of secretory cells, is probably responsible for the rapid change in the intracellular concentrations of

ions produced in these cells during stimulation (16, 17). Stimulation by raising the extracellular concentration of  $K^+$  depolarizes the endocrine cells, but the potential values always remain negative (18–21). Furthermore, secretory cells have gap junctions which are membrane areas of low resistance (17). For this reason, comparison of membrane resistance in different kinds of secretory cells would be unjustified (17).

## IONIC REQUIREMENTS FOR RELEASE

### *Role of Divalent Cations*

In 1928 Houssay & Molinelli first suggested the importance of  $Ca^{2+}$  in hormone secretion (22). However, it was W.W. Douglas who conceived of the role of  $Ca^{2+}$  in the secretory process as a general one, and who gave the name of *stimulus-secretion coupling* to the events involved in the secretory process because of the similarity to the excitation-contraction coupling in muscle (23). Douglas and co-workers not only established the role of  $Ca^{2+}$  in the secretory process of the adrenal medulla (24) but they also extended these observations to the neurosecretory cells of the posterior pituitary (25) and to the submaxillary gland (26). Later on, the requirement of  $Ca^{2+}$  for secretion was established in a large variety of secretory systems (27). Thus, the omission of  $Ca^{2+}$  from the extracellular environment blocks the release of hormone in response to different secretagogues (25, 28–34). Reintroduction of  $Ca^{2+}$  into a  $Ca^{2+}$ -free medium produces a sharp increase in hormone release (24, 35, 36). This has been interpreted as arising from a rapid penetration of  $Ca^{2+}$  through the plasma membrane, since this divalent cation was added when the plasma membrane was in a state of increased permeability due to the omission of  $Ca^{2+}$  (23, 35). It appears, therefore, that stimulation promotes  $Ca^{2+}$  entry and this seems to be supported by the results obtained with  $Mg^{2+}$ . Increasing the extracellular concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$  inhibits hormone release in response to stimulation (28, 35, 37, 38). Replacement of extracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  by either  $Sr^{2+}$  or  $Ba^{2+}$  is effective in maintaining the stimulation-induced release of hormones (35, 38, 42). Furthermore,  $Ba^{2+}$  itself is a potent secretagogue, even in the absence of extracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  (35, 38–41). The possibility that  $Ba^{2+}$  acts by displacing intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  should be considered, since  $Ba^{2+}$  interferes with  $^{45}Ca$  binding by microsomes (43). Therefore, although  $Ca^{2+}$  is essential for the release process, different  $Ca^{2+}$  pools may be involved, depending upon the secretagogue used (34, 44).

Among the glands storing hormones in secretory granules, the parathyroid gland and the C cells of the thyroid gland seem to be a special case. The parathyroid hormone (PTH) and calcitonin control and maintain the normal levels of  $Ca^{2+}$  in plasma (45, 46). One of the most important sites of action responsible for this control is the bone, where PTH stimulates mobilization of  $Ca^{2+}$  and calcitonin inhibits this process. Furthermore, the plasma levels of PTH and calcitonin are indirectly and directly, respectively, related to the  $Ca^{2+}$  plasma concentration (45). Thus an increase in  $Ca^{2+}$  levels triggers calcitonin release whereas the release of PTH is depressed (45, 46). The opposite is true when the concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  in plasma

is decreased (45, 46). Therefore,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions are the stimulus for calcitonin release. However, it is unknown whether there is an active calcium site in the plasma membrane of the C cell or whether  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  can readily penetrate the cell and trigger release (45, 46). In the case of the parathyroid gland, an increase in the extracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  does not seem to be responsible for turning off the mechanism of release. On the contrary, a lag of 25–30 min is observed between the increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  levels and a 50% decrease in the circulating PTH levels (46). This probably suggests that  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  acts on the regulation of the synthesis of the PTH (46, 47). There is, however, not enough available information to understand completely the cellular mechanisms which regulate PTH and calcitonin release.

### *Effect of Potassium Ions*

As discussed above, increasing the concentration of  $\text{K}^+$  in the extracellular environment depolarizes the endocrine cell (19–21). This results in an increase in membrane permeability to  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  (16, 17). However, only  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is essential in the  $\text{K}^+$ -induced hormone release reaction. Thus, although the endocrine cell is depolarized by high  $\text{K}^+$  in the absence of extracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , hormone release is not triggered (25, 28, 30–35, 48). This effect of  $\text{K}^+$  has been observed in many endocrine cells, including the neurosecretory terminals of the neurohypophysis (25, 35).

### *Sodium-Calcium Interaction, the Late $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ Channel, and the Regulation of Intracellular $\text{Ca}^{2+}$*

Experiments carried out on the adrenal medulla, the endocrine pancreas, and the neurohypophysis have shown that the omission of  $\text{Na}^+$  from the extracellular space produces a sharp increase in hormone output (35, 44, 49). Moreover, after a short exposure to a  $\text{Na}^+$ -free solution, hormone release in response to stimulation is potentiated (25, 28, 35, 50). Therefore, it is likely that decreasing the extracellular concentration of  $\text{Na}^+$  produces an increase in the  $[\text{Na}^+]_i/[\text{Na}^+]_o$  ratio and, perhaps, a concomitant increase in the intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  levels. This  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  increase may be due to a decrease in the  $\text{Na}^+$ -dependent  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  efflux mechanism. The existence of a  $\text{Na}^{2+}$ -dependent  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  efflux has been shown in the squid axon and in the cardiac muscle (51). The potentiation of hormone release may also be due to an increased  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  entry as a result of the removal of extracellular  $\text{Na}^+$  (35, 50). The existence of a  $\text{Na}^+$ - $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  antagonism has also been demonstrated in the squid axon and heart muscle (51). However, it is also possible that decreasing the  $[\text{Na}^+]_o$  may increase  $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$  by inhibiting the cellular binding or sequestration of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  (44, 52). Therefore, it seems that conditions which produce an increase in the  $[\text{Na}^+]_i/[\text{Na}^+]_o$  ratio will favor the accumulation of intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  which may be necessary to trigger hormone release. The  $[\text{Na}^+]_i/[\text{Na}^+]_o$  ratio can be increased either by removing extracellular  $\text{Na}^+$  (28, 35, 44, 49), or by increasing intracellular  $\text{Na}^+$  as a result of blocking the  $\text{Na}^+$  pump by either  $\text{K}^+$  removal or by ouabain treatment (35, 48, 53). Long exposure to  $\text{Na}^+$ -deprived solutions produced an opposite effect on hormone

release, namely, the inhibition of release upon stimulation (44, 48). This diminished response seems to be due to a progressive fall in the intracellular concentration of  $\text{Na}^+$  during exposure to a  $\text{Na}^+$ -free medium (44). Furthermore, diphenylhydantoin, a drug which reduces the intracellular concentrations of  $\text{Na}^+$ , inhibits glucose-induced release of insulin (54).

Studies on the movement of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in the squid axon have shown that the entry of this ion is biphasic: an early phase which seems to use the  $\text{Na}^+$  channel and which is blocked by tetrodotoxin (51), and a later phase of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  entry which is tetrodotoxin insensitive and which is blocked by  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{La}^{3+}$ , and the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  antagonist methoxyverapamil (51). The  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  entry through this channel is blocked by the same ions and substances which block neurotransmitter and hormone release (35, 51, 55, 56). Moreover, one of the characteristics of the late  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  channel is its inactivation by prolonged depolarization (51). This type of inactivation seems to be responsible for the decrease in catecholamine output observed during  $\text{K}^+$  stimulation (57).

$\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is also probably involved in the termination of the release reaction. One or more of the following mechanisms may be responsible for this: (a) inactivation of the late  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  channel; (b) extrusion of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  to the cell exterior; (c) cellular binding or sequestration of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . Sequestration of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  by a  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  ATP-dependent mechanism has been demonstrated in microsomal and mitochondrial fractions prepared from adrenal medulla (43). Therefore, these organelles may be responsible not only for the termination of the release reaction, but also for the low  $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$  levels present during cellular resting conditions. Furthermore, these organelles may be the site of action of drugs like caffeine or theophylline, which are known to induce release in the absence of extracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  (58, 59).

Finally, it is worthwhile to stress that all this ion interplay reflects again a very close similarity between two apparently different processes, namely, contraction and secretion.

## EXOCYTOSIS

In earlier studies it was suggested that the mechanisms of hormone release from glands containing secretory granules encompassed holocrine and apocrine secretion (60, 61) as well as other forms of release, for example: (a) extrusion of intact secretory granules into the cell exterior (62); (b) release from a "free hormone pool" (63, 64); (c) release by diffusion of hormones out of the granules into the cytoplasm (64, 65); or (d) release by dissolution of the granule membrane in the cytoplasm (66). The final common path proposed for the last three mechanisms of release was the diffusion across the plasma membrane of the hormones from the cytoplasmic sap into the cell exterior. However, in 1957 another mechanism of secretion was proposed by De Robertis & Vaz Ferreira, which suggested that secretion was by "reverse pinocytosis" (exocytosis), a mechanism whereby the membrane of the secretory granule fuses with the plasmalemma allowing the escape of the content of the granules to the cell exterior (67). Exocytosis seems to be the most logical

process of hormone release. If the disadvantages of the other forms of release are considered, it is immediately obvious that exocytosis is the simplest, the most economical, and the most efficient mechanism for releasing not only hormones but also enzymes and transmitter substances from the exocrine glands and nerve terminals respectively. If hormones were released from granules into the cytosol they would diffuse in all directions; large quantities of the hormones would be destroyed by enzymes present in the cytosol and only a fraction of the hormones would reach the cell exterior. Furthermore, the hormones in question would have to cross at least two membranes, those of the granules and that of the cell. Finally, if release were not by exocytosis, since the molecular size of the hormones which are stored in granules varies from very simple molecules like adrenaline to more complex ones like somatotropin or adrenocorticotropin, special transport mechanisms through these membranes, namely, the granule and the plasmalemma, would have to exist. There is now good morphological evidence for exocytosis in the adrenal medulla (67, 68); in the neurohypophysis (69); in the  $\alpha$  (70),  $\beta$  (71), and  $\delta$  (70) cells of the pancreas; and in the pituitary cells, namely, the thyrotroph (72), the corticotroph (73), the somatotroph (74), the mammothroph (75), the melanotroph (76), and even in the gonadotroph (77) where earlier studies failed to show the classical "omega" images of exocytosis (78). Exocytosis seems also to be the mechanism of release in exocrine glands (79), nerve terminals (27), and a variety of secretory tissues (27). Furthermore, biochemical studies carried out in some of these tissues have provided chemical evidence in favor of release by exocytosis. These studies have shown that hormones were released during stimulation along with other soluble granule components. In the adrenal medulla, for example, there is a concomitant release of dopamine- $\beta$ -hydroxylase, chromogranin A, and ATP along with the catecholamines (44, 80-82). These soluble substances are quantitatively recovered in the effluent escaping from stimulated glands (44, 80-82). Furthermore, subcellular fractionation studies carried out on stimulated medullae have shown that the entire soluble content of the granule is discharged to the cell exterior (83-85), and that exocytosis is an all-or-none release process (86). Further work has demonstrated that, in the neurohypophysis, neurophysins I and II are released along with oxytocin and vasopressin (87, 88) and that stimulation of the pancreas brings about the concomitant release of insulin and the connecting peptide C (89). It has also been demonstrated that in the mast cell, a unicellular gland, the release of histamine is accompanied by a parallel release of heparin and granule protein (90). Biochemical evidence in favor of exocytosis obtained in other secretory tissues has also been published. These secretory tissues are the adrenergic neuron, the polymorphonuclear leucocyte, the platelets, and the basophile leucocytes (27). Other biochemical studies have demonstrated that the membrane components are retained within the cell after exocytosis (83, 85, 91, 92) and that, although large molecules, as for example dopamine  $\beta$ -hydroxylase (mol. wt. 290,000), leave the cell during exocytosis, no cytoplasmic marker enzymes like lactate dehydrogenase (44, 92-94), phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase (95), and adenylate kinase (93) are detected in the effluents escaping from these stimulated tissues. This indicates that the vital feature of the cell, that is, the membraneous isolation of the cytosol from the extracellular environment, is maintained during exocytosis.

## ORIGIN AND FATE OF THE SECRETORY GRANULES

Ultrastructural observations have suggested that secretory granules originate from the Golgi apparatus (75, 77, 96–103). The Golgi complex can be viewed as the membrane-bound compartment of the cell which is believed to be involved in the following functions (104): (a) the synthesis of certain polysaccharides; (b) the synthesis or attachment of carbohydrates side chains of glycoproteins (especially the addition of terminal sugars such as galactose, fucose, and sialic acid); (c) the assembling of secretory materials and formation of membrane-bound granules containing these materials; (d) the assembling of lysosomes. According to some investigators (105) the Golgi apparatus is part of the “endomembrane system” of the cell. This system is formed by the following components: rough endoplasmic reticulum  $\rightarrow$  smooth endoplasmic reticulum  $\rightarrow$  Golgi apparatus  $\rightarrow$  secretory granules. The arrows here indicate the direction of the “membrane flow,” a term which has been introduced to describe the process of physical transfer of membranes from one cell compartment to another (105). This process of membrane transfer may or may not be accompanied by the concomitant transfer through this endomembrane system of secretory products, in this particular case, prohormones and hormones. Morphological evidence showing the packing of secretory products into granules in the stacked Golgi cisternae, especially in the maturing phase of the Golgi, has been published. This ultrastructural evidence has been collected from studies on all types of secretory cells of the adenohypophysis (75, 77, 96, 97); the  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\delta$  cells of the pancreas (97–100); the adrenal medulla (101, 102); the neurosecretory cells of the hypothalamus-neurohypophysial system (103); and in many other secretory systems in which secretory products are packed in vesicles (106).

Studies using pulse-labeling methods followed by autoradiography have also provided information on the synthesis, transport, and final packaging of secretory products. These experiments involved the incubation of the secretory tissues “in vitro” and the administration of a short pulse (5 min) of a radioactive amino acid, followed by autoradiography at different intervals of time postpulse. The results indicated that a high proportion of silver grains were always found on the surface of the endoplasmic reticulum at 5–10 min after labeling. The labeled material was then transferred to the Golgi apparatus, where the highest concentration was reached at 20–30 min postpulse. The radioactive material appeared largely concentrated in the secretory granules at 60 min postpulse. This pattern of movement of the label from the endoplasmic reticulum to the secretory granules has been observed in studies on somatotrophs (76), melanotrophs (107),  $\beta$  cells of the pancreas (99), and also in some exocrine cells (108, 109).

Although the results obtained with the use of autoradiography indicate the sequential movement of labeled material from one cell compartment to another, they do not indicate whether this transfer represents the movement of soluble or of membrane-bound protein or of both. Therefore, the results indicate only that some components of the granules are derived from the Golgi. Pulse-labeling procedures combined with biochemical techniques have demonstrated, at least in some cases, that the movement of labeled material represents the synthesis and transport of

hormones or their precursors. This seems to be true in the case of the pancreas where the movement of radioactive protein along this endomembrane system represents the synthesis and transport of proinsulin and its conversion to insulin (110). These experiments have suggested that the Golgi is probably the place where the conversion of proinsulin to insulin is initiated and that the transfer of material from the Golgi to the granules is an energy-dependent step (110). The synthesis of a precursor of oxytocin and vasopressin has been also suggested (103, 111). Furthermore, a suggestion has been made in favor of the origin of neurophysin I and oxytocin and of neurophysin II and vasopressin from common precursors respectively (112).

Pulse-labeling experiments followed by subcellular fractionation procedures carried out in perfused adrenal glands showed a similar pattern of endomembrane transport of [ $^3\text{H}$ ]-leucine labeled protein, and newly synthesized chromogranins were detected in the perfusates as early as 30 min postpulse (113, 114). These pulse-labeling experiments have also shown the lack of labeled amino acids in the proteins of the membranes of secretory granules during the entire 4 hr of postpulse perfusion, this in spite of the fact that after 4 hr of perfusion the release of radioactive chromogranin could be readily detected (113, 114). These results indicate that the turnover of soluble granule components is more rapid than that of the membrane-bound components, which, in turn, suggests that granule membranes may be reutilized several times during the secretory cycle. This suggestion agrees with an earlier observation showing that the attachment of the granule membranes to the plasmalemma does not involve long-term incorporation (115) and that the granule membranes remain as discrete particles within the cells after catecholamine secretion (83, 116).

It seems, therefore, that the granule membrane proteins are not synthesized simultaneously with the granule content, although the morphological evidence discussed above does seem to indicate that the granules are derived from the Golgi complex. Consequently, it was important to obtain more biochemical information as to the origin of the secretory granules. To this end a method for the isolation of the Golgi complex from the adrenal medulla has been published recently (117). The chemical composition and the immunological properties of the Golgi membranes thus isolated have been compared to those of the chromaffin granule membranes (118). The marked biochemical differences observed between these membranes suggested that, if the secretory granules are derived from the Golgi as the morphological evidence seems to indicate, first, the granule membranes are unlikely to be derived by an unspecific "membrane flow," and second, the membranes must undergo considerable biochemical changes (membrane differentiation). The other possibility is, as suggested above, that after secretion the empty granule membranes return to the Golgi apparatus, fuse with it, and become recharged with newly synthesized hormones. Coated vesicles have been found in the proximity of the Golgi in electron microscopy pictures prepared from the adrenal medulla (119). Coated vesicles can also be seen in published electron micrographs prepared from other endocrine tissues (98, 120, 121). The interpretation given for the presence of coated vesicles in secretory tissues is that these vesicles have been derived from the granule membranes after exocytosis (122, 123). Therefore, a process of endocytosis and membrane retrieval would seem to follow exocytosis (122, 123).



The possible fate of these vesicles must also be considered. One possibility, already discussed above, is that they are reused in the formation of new secretory granules. Alternatively, it has also been suggested that these vesicles are incorporated into and digested by lysosomes. This process of digestion (crinophagy) of not only membranes but also intact secretory granules was first described in mammatrophs by Smith & Farquhar (75). Similar observations have been made in somatotrophs (76, 77), in gonadotrophs (76, 77), in thyrotrophs (76, 77), in  $\alpha$  cells of the pancreas during spontaneous or experimental diabetes (124), in the neurohypophysis (125), in the normal adrenal medulla (126), and in pheochromocytomas (127). These autophagic bodies are observed mainly when a strong stimulus is removed or when hormonal secretion is suppressed. Therefore, it seems that this internal mechanism operates primarily when overproduction of secretory products occurs (76, 77, 124, 125, 127). Nevertheless, it is not known whether this is a controlled process or a blind one. It does not, however, seem to be the main factor regulating the fate of the membranes after exocytosis.

## MECHANISMS OF RELEASE

### *Energy Requirement and the Possible Role of ATP*

It has been shown that in many secretory tissues the release reaction is an energy-dependent process (128–131). Because secretion is blocked by inhibitors of oxidative phosphorylation and glycolysis (128–131) and because these two processes are important in the generation of ATP, it is possible that the energy requirement for the secretory process is in the form of ATP. However, it is not known whether the energy requirement is necessary to maintain a general cell function or whether it is needed in some of the steps involved in stimulus-secretion coupling.

Although the molecular events involved in the secretory process have not yet been completely elucidated, much information has been obtained by studying the effects of ATP on secretory granules isolated from the adrenal medulla. In the presence of  $Mg^{2+}$ , ATP produces structural changes in the chromaffin granules (132) and there is a simultaneous release of catecholamines, endogenous ATP, and soluble proteins (133). When ATP acts on chromaffin granules it is hydrolyzed by enzymes present in granule membranes, and part of the  $P_i$  so liberated is transphosphorylated to granule membranes (134). The effects of ATP on chromaffin granules can be blocked at either an early (ATP hydrolysis, transphosphorylation) or at a subsequent (conformational changes) step (135). On the basis of the above and other observations, Poisner & Trifaró proposed a hypothetical model for the molecular events involved in exocytosis (133). In this model the stimulation of the chromaffin cells induces an increase in intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  (this being due either to increased  $Ca^{2+}$  entry or to liberation from intracellular sources). Then,  $Ca^{2+}$  may form a link between anionic groups (possibly phospholipids) of both granule and plasma membranes (133). It is known that  $Ca^{2+}$  can cause the aggregation of chromaffin and other secretory granules (136, 137). Moreover, it has been shown that  $Ca^{2+}$  causes the attachment of secretory granules of leucocytes to their membranes (138). ATP, which is released from the plasma membrane upon stimulation (139) and is perhaps

also freed from some other places within the cell, acts on chromaffin granules. During this interaction ATP is hydrolyzed by granule membrane enzymes; membrane protein and lipid are phosphorylated; and this is followed by the production of some conformational change (contractile event?) in the granule membrane leading to the release of soluble granule components. This hypothesis involves  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  as one of the principal elements in membrane fusion. In connection with this it has been shown that ATP induces the synthesis of diphosphatidyl inositol in granule membranes (85, 140, 141). This lipid has great affinity for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and, in some membranes, there is a direct correlation between  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  binding and diphosphatidyl inositol content (142). It should be remembered that this hypothesis has been formulated on the basis of in vitro observations and although it accommodates all that is known about the release reaction, further work is necessary to see whether this mechanism will operate during release in vivo.

Nevertheless, ATP-induced release from secretory granules has also been demonstrated in other preparations such as zymogen granules (143), cholinergic synaptic vesicles (144), and posterior pituitary granules (145). The results obtained with ATP on granules isolated from the  $\beta$  cell of the pancreas are controversial (146, 147). This is perhaps because the experiments were carried out with "crude granule preparations," that is, fractions that were heavily contaminated with lysosomes, mitochondria, and microsomes (11). Furthermore, granule membrane phosphorylation by ATP has also been demonstrated in granules isolated from the adenohipophysis (148) and in zymogen granules (149). One of the criticisms of the hypothesis discussed above is the lack of effect of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  on chromaffin granules. However, it is possible that a factor which makes the granule ATPase sensitive to  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is lost or inactivated during the isolation of the granules. In this connection it has been shown recently that a protein fraction prepared from the cytosol of adrenal medullary cells sensitizes the granule to  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  (150). In the presence of this cytosol factor,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  potentiates the ATP-induced release process (150).

### *Microtubules*

It has been proposed recently that microtubules are involved in the mechanisms of hormone release in a variety of endocrine tissues (151–153). This hypothesis is based on indirect observations that agents which disrupt microtubules, such as colchicine and vinblastine, inhibit the release process. The first observation of this kind was made by Lacy et al (151), who reported that colchicine inhibits glucose-induced release of insulin from the pancreas. Lacy has suggested that secretory granules which are attached to microtubules, in contrast to those that are free in the cytosol of the  $\beta$  cell, are available for immediate release and that  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  may trigger contraction or a change in the physical conformation in the microtubule system which results in granule extrusion (154). This hypothesis is subject to criticism for the following reasons. 1. The glucose-induced release of insulin from the pancreas is a biphasic event (155, 156): an immediate and acute release response (phase I) is followed by a late and long-lasting release response (phase II). Puromycin blocks by 50% the release during phase II without affecting phase I (157). Moreover, when pancreatic islets were prelabeled with  $[^3\text{H}]$ -leucine, the insulin released during phase

II was of higher specific activity than that collected during phase I (158). These observations suggest that insulin release during phase II is, at least in part, the result of either the conversion of proinsulin to insulin, or the release of newly synthesized insulin. These two phases of release are observed either when the pancreas is perfused or when pancreatic islets are perfused (155, 156). Colchicine blocks phase II of glucose-induced insulin release without affecting phase I (159). This differential effect of colchicine could not have been detected in the early experiments in which  $\beta$ -cell islets were incubated for 90 min (151). These results suggest that microtubules are not involved in the exocytosis process itself, and that the granules available for immediate release are not attached to microtubules. However, it still may be possible that, in this tissue, microtubules are involved in the intracellular transport of secretory granules from the Golgi (see above) to the periphery of the cell. 2. Lacy's suggestions that  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  triggers contraction of microtubules also seems unlikely because  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  blocks the polymerization of microtubules (160). In *in vitro* experiments, this divalent cation seems to be as effective as both colchicine and low temperatures in blocking microtubule polymerization (160). Furthermore, it has been postulated that the levels of free intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  may control microtubule polymerization (161). If this is the case, microtubule polymerization should decrease during the release reaction, a condition in which an increase in free intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  has been assumed (see above).

Therefore, a prerequisite for the study of these agents on the secretory process seems to be an adequate preparation of perfused glands in which exocytosis *per se* can be temporarily separated from the exocytotic process which follows intracellular transport. This prerequisite has not always been complied with, and many of the studies on hormone release from the adenohypophysis, neurohypophysis, and pancreas have been carried out in pieces or slices of tissues incubated *in vitro* and exposed to these agents for long periods of time (151, 153, 162–165). Therefore, in the presence of these agents a decrease in the amount of hormone collected during the stimulation was observed in some cases (151, 153, 162, 163); no changes (162, 164, 165) or even a potentiation of release was observed in others (164). Results obtained after long incubation periods in the presence of these agents are also difficult to interpret because of other effects of these drugs on living tissues. These effects are a decrease in production of ATP by colchicine and vincristine (166), the binding of colchicine to cell membranes (167), a drastic inhibition by colchicine and its analogues of nucleoside uptake (168), the tubocurarine-like effects of colchicine and vinblastine (169), and the anticholinergic effects of colchicine and vinblastine (170). This latter effect was observed in an endocrine gland, the adrenal medulla, in experiments in which perfused adrenal glands showed that the exocytotic release of catecholamines in response to either nicotine (152) or acetylcholine (152, 170, 171) was blocked by colchicine and vinblastine. However, catecholamine release induced by depolarizing concentrations of  $\text{K}^{+}$  was unaffected (170, 171). This suggested an anticholinergic effect of these drugs, which was confirmed in experiments with perfused cervical ganglia (170). Furthermore, the lack of effect of colchicine on the histamine release from the mast cell induced by the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ionophore A23187 suggests that in this tissue, as in the adrenal medulla, microtubules are not

involved in exocytosis (172). Therefore, the role of microtubules in the secretory process still remains to be elucidated.

### *Contractile Proteins*

The similarities between the processes of secretion and contraction (23) taken together with the idea that exocytosis is a true contractile event (133) raise questions about the presence and possible role of contractile proteins in secretory cells. In this connection the following observations have been made: (a) the presence of an actomyosin-like protein in adrenal medullary cells has been demonstrated (173, 174); (b) neurostenin, a protein which resembles actomyosin, has been isolated from the brain (175); (c) actin-like filaments have been detected by immunofluorescence in the  $\beta$  cell of the pancreas (176); (d) a protein of the same molecular weight and showing a similar finger printing pattern as muscle actin has been detected in the adrenal medulla (177); (e) a protein has also been isolated from this tissue which shows ATPase activity, electrophoretic mobility, molecular weight, amino acid composition, and electron microscopic appearance which closely resembles myosin (178); (f) in vitro interaction between muscle myosin and actin and chromaffin granules has been described recently (179); (g) a calcium-binding protein has been purified from the adrenal medulla (180); (h) actin has been shown in electron micrographs of glycerinated nerve terminals (181); and (i) cytochalasin B, a drug known to interact with microfilaments (182), has been shown to block release in some secretory tissues (163). Although the blocking effect of this drug might be due to its other metabolic inhibitor properties (183, 184), it is worthwhile to point out that the cytochalasin B blocks the polymerization of G actin into F actin (185). In consequence, it is possible that these contractile proteins are involved in one or more of the steps of secretion, for example, in the intracellular transport of secretory granules, in the extrusion of the granule contents, or in the formation of "coated vesicles" during membrane retrieval. The vesicle "coat" is perhaps formed by actin molecules. However, actin and myosin have also been isolated and characterized from a variety of nonmuscle cells (186) and these proteins are thought to be involved in the maintenance of cell shape, in cell motility, and in mitosis (186-188). Therefore, the possibility exists that the presence of actin and myosin in secretory cells is related to cell architecture rather than to the secretory process. Nevertheless, the possible role of myosin and actin in the secretory process should be fully investigated.

### *Membrane Fusion*

Release by exocytosis involves the interaction of both the granule and plasma membranes. The discovery of a large amount of lysolecithin in chromaffin granule membranes (189, 190) has led to the suggestion that, during stimulation, this lipid is involved in the process of membrane fusion (190). Lysolecithin is not formed during stimulation, and it is present in the granule membranes during resting conditions (191, 192). Lysolecithin can cause the fusion of cell membranes (193), but its role in exocytosis has not yet been demonstrated. Furthermore, with the exception of chromaffin granules (189, 190) and the secretory granules of neurohy-

pophysis (194), there is no available information concerning the content of lysolecithin in other hormone storage granules.

As discussed above,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  may be another important factor in membrane fusion. In fact an electrostatic function for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  in exocytosis has been proposed recently (195, 196). The authors of this proposal have suggested that one possible function of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions in exocytosis is to reduce the potential at the surfaces of both the granule and cell membranes, thus decreasing the potential energy barrier for granule-membrane interaction (195, 196). This would enable contact or coalition to occur between the two membranes (195, 196). Whereas  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions may play an important role in membrane fusion, the possible role of ATP and ATPase in this process cannot be ignored. In a review recently published on the mechanism of membrane fusion, a unitary theory of membrane fusion is proposed (197). This theory stresses the role of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , ATP, and membrane-associated ATPase systems in the regulation of membrane changes responsible for fusion (197).

## CONCLUSIONS

This review is an attempt to unify published observations about the secretory process in endocrine glands which store hormones in subcellular granules. One of the most interesting ultrastructural features of these tissues is the presence of secretory granules. Morphological evidence seems to indicate that secretory granules originate in the Golgi apparatus, and biochemical studies suggest that a selective process of membrane flow and differentiation must take place during granule formation. Granule storage not only allows these endocrine tissues to store large amounts of hormones in a relatively small volume, but also protects the hormones from intracellular degradation and provides a very efficient means of transporting and releasing fixed amounts (quantum) of hormones. In these tissues release is by exocytosis and this is an energy-dependent process which requires ionized  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . Therefore, an increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  seems to be a necessary requirement for triggering release. Increase in cytoplasmic  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  may be produced by substances which interfere with the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  sequestration by intracellular organelles. However, it is more likely that an increase in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  entry under physiological conditions, through a specific  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  channel, would account for this increase in intracellular  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ . Where  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is needed still remains to be elucidated.  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  may play a role in membrane fusion or it may be involved in some kind of contractile event leading to the release of hormones. Myosin and actin have been found in secretory tissues, but the role of these proteins in secretory cells still remains to be determined. Nevertheless, the close similarities which exist between two apparently different processes, namely, secretion and muscle contraction, suggest that the molecular events involved in secretion may be of similar nature to those involved in muscle contraction.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Original studies in the author's laboratory were supported by grants from the Medical Research Council of Canada.

## Literature Cited

1. Smith, A. D. 1968. The storage of hormones. *Biochem. J.* 109:17-19
2. Claesson, L. 1954. The intracellular localization of the esterified cholesterol in the living interstitial gland cell of the rabbit ovary. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 31: Suppl. 113, pp. 53-78
3. Siekevitz, P., Palade, G. E. 1958. A cytochemical study of the pancreas of the guinea pig. I. Isolation and enzymatic activities of cell fractions. *J. Biophys. Biochem. Cytol.* 4:203-18
4. Rothman, S. S., Burwen, S., Liebow, C. 1974. In *Advances in Cytoparmacology*, ed. B. Ceccarelli, J. Meldolesi, F. Clementi, 2:341-48. New York: Raven. 388 pp.
5. Whittaker, V. P. 1974. See Ref. 4, pp. 311-17
6. Bloom, F. E. 1972. In *Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology. Catecholamines*, ed. H. Blaschko, E. Muscholl, 33:46-78. Berlin & New York: Springer-Verlag. 1054 pp.
7. Banks, P. 1965. The adenosine-triphosphatase activity of adrenal chromaffin granules. *Biochem. J.* 95:490-96
8. Bindler, E., Labella, F. S., Sanwal, M. 1967. Isolated nerve endings (neurosecretosomes) from the posterior pituitary. *J. Cell Biol.* 34:185-205
9. Sorenson, R. L., Lindall, A. W., Lazarow, A. 1969. Studies on the isolated goosefish insulin secretion granule. *Diabetes* 18:129-37
10. Hymer, W. C. 1975. In *The Anterior Pituitary*, ed. A. Tixier-Vidal, M. G. Farquhar, 137-80. New York: Academic. 283 pp.
11. Coore, H. G., Hellman, B., Pihl, E., Täljedal, I. B. 1969. Physiochemical characteristics of insulin secretion granules. *Biochem. J.* 111:107-13
12. Smith, A. D., Winkler, H. 1967. A simple method for the isolation of adrenal chromaffin granules on a large scale. *Biochem. J.* 103:480-82
13. Trifaró, J. M., Dworkind, J. 1970. A new and simple method for isolation of adrenal chromaffin granules by means of an isotonic density gradient. *Anal. Biochem.* 34:403-12
14. Winkler, H., Smith, A. D. 1975. *Handb. Physiol., Endocrinology* 6:321-39
15. Pletscher, A., Da Prada, M., Berneis, K. H., Steffen, H., Liitold, B., Weder, H. G. 1974. See Ref. 4, pp. 257-64
16. Williams, J. A. 1970. Origin of transmembrane potentials in non-excitable cells. *J. Theor. Biol.* 28:287-96
17. Matthews, E. K. 1974. In *Secretory Mechanisms of Exocrine Glands*, ed. N. A. Thorn, O. H. Petersen, 185-95. New York: Academic. 645 pp.
18. Douglas, W. W., Kanno, T., Sampson, S. R. 1967. Effects of acetylcholine and other medullary secretagogues and antagonists on the membrane potentials of adrenal chromaffin cells: an analysis employing techniques of tissue culture. *J. Physiol. London* 188:107-20
19. Dean, P. M., Matthews, E. K. 1970. Electrical activity in pancreatic islet cells: effects of ions. *J. Physiol. London* 210:265-75
20. Martin, S., York, D. H., Kraicer, J. 1973. Alterations in transmembrane potential of adenohipophysial cells in elevated potassium and calcium-free media. *Endocrinology* 92:1084-88
21. Douglas, W. W., Kanno, T., Sampson, S. R. 1967. Influence of the ionic environment on the membrane potential of the adrenal chromaffin cells and on the depolarizing effect of acetylcholine. *J. Physiol. London* 191:107-21
22. Houssay, B. A., Molinelli, E. A. 1928. Excitabilité des fibres adrénalino-sécrétories du nerf grand splanchnique. Fréquences, seuil et optimum des stimulus. Rôle de l'ion calcium. *C. R. Soc. Biol.* 99:172-74
23. Douglas, W. W. 1968. Stimulus-secretion coupling: the concept and clues from chromaffin and other cells. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 34:451-74
24. Douglas, W. W., Rubin, R. P. 1961. The role of calcium in the secretory response of the adrenal medulla to acetylcholine. *J. Physiol. London* 159:40-47
25. Douglas, W. W., Poisner, A. M. 1964. Stimulus-secretion coupling in a neurosecretory organ: the role of calcium in the release of vasopressin from the neurohypophysis. *J. Physiol. London* 172:1-18
26. Douglas, W. W., Poisner, A. M. 1963. The influence of calcium on the secretory response of the submaxillary gland to acetylcholine or to noradrenaline. *J. Physiol. London* 165:528-41
27. Smith, A. D. 1971. Summing up: some implications of the neuron as a secretory cell. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser. B* 261:423-37
28. Douglas, W. W., Rubin, R. P. 1963. The mechanism of catecholamine release from the adrenal medulla and the role of calcium in stimulus-secretion

- coupling. *J. Physiol. London* 167:288-310
29. Douglas, W. W. 1963. A possible mechanism of neurosecretion: release of vasopressin by depolarization and its dependence on calcium. *Nature* 197: 81-82
  30. Vale, W., Guillemin, R. 1967. Potassium-induced stimulation of thyrotropin release *in vitro*. Requirement for presence of calcium and inhibition by thyroxine. *Experientia* 23:855-57
  31. Samli, M. H., Geschwind, I. I. 1968. Some effects of energy-transfer inhibitors and  $\text{Ca}^{++}$ -free or  $\text{K}^{+}$ -enhanced media on the release of luteinizing hormone (LH) from the rat pituitary gland *in vitro*. *Endocrinology* 82:225-31
  32. Wakabayashi, K., Kamberi, I. A., McCann, S. M. 1969. In vitro response of the rat pituitary to gonadotrophin-releasing factors and to ions. *Endocrinology* 85:1046-56
  33. Parsons, J. A. 1970. Effects of cations on prolactin and growth hormone secretion by rat adenohypophyses *in vitro*. *J. Physiol.* 210:973-87
  34. Kraicer, J. 1975. See Ref. 10, p. 21-43
  35. Douglas, W. W. 1974. *Handb. Physiol., Endocrinology* 4(Part 1):191-224
  36. Devis, G., Somers, G., Malaisse, W. J. 1975. Stimulation of insulin release by calcium. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 67:525-29
  37. Grodsky, G. M., Bennett, L. L. 1966. Cation requirements for insulin secretion in the isolated perfused pancreas. *Diabetes* 15:910-13
  38. Hales, C. N., Milner, R. D. G. 1968. Cations and the secretion of insulin from rabbit pancreas *in vitro*. *J. Physiol. London* 199:177-87
  39. Douglas, W. W., Rubin, R. P. 1964. Stimulant action of barium on the adrenal medulla. *Nature* 203:305-7
  40. Buchs, M., Dreifuss, J. J., Grau, J. D., Nordmann, J. J. 1972. Strontium as a substitute for calcium in the process leading to neurohypophyseal hormone secretion. *J. Physiol. London* 222: 168-69
  41. Ishida, A. 1968. Stimulus-secretion coupling on the oxytocin release from the isolated posterior pituitary lobe. *Jpn. J. Physiol.* 18:471-80
  42. Hales, C. N. 1970. Ion fluxes and membranes function in  $\beta$ -cells and adipocytes. *Acta Diabetol. Lat.* 7: Suppl. 1, pp. 64-75
  43. Poisner, A. M., Hava, M. 1970. The role of ATP and ATPase in the release of catecholamines from the adrenal medulla. IV. ATP-activated uptake of calcium by microsomes and mitochondria. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 6:407-15
  44. Lastowecka, A., Trifaró, J. M. 1974. The effect of sodium and calcium ions on the release of catecholamines from the adrenal medulla: Sodium deprivation induces release by exocytosis in the absence of extracellular calcium. *J. Physiol. London* 236:681-705
  45. Copp, D. H. 1969. Calcitonin and parathyroid hormone. *Ann. Rev. Pharmacol.* 9:327-44
  46. Rubin, R. P. 1974. In *Calcium and the Secretory Process*. New York & London: Plenum. 189 pp.
  47. Hamilton, J. W., Cohn, D. V. 1969. Studies on the biosynthesis *in vitro* of parathyroid hormone. I. Synthesis of parathyroid hormone by bovine parathyroid gland slices and its control by calcium. *J. Biol. Chem.* 244:5421-29
  48. Hales, C. N., Milner, R. D. G. 1968. The role of sodium and potassium in insulin secretion from rabbit pancreas. *J. Physiol. London* 194:725-43
  49. Griffey, M. A., Conaway, H. H., Whitney, J. E. 1974. Insulin secretion induced by  $\text{Na}^{+}$  deprivation. *Endocrinology* 95:1469-72
  50. Dreifuss, J. J., Grau, J. D., Bianchi, R. E. 1971. Antagonism between  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Na}^{+}$  ions at neurohypophyseal nerve terminals. *Experientia* 27:1295-96
  51. Baker, P. F., Reuter, H. 1975. In *Calcium Movement in Excitable Cells*. New York: Pergamon. 102 pp.
  52. Dransfeld, H., Greeff, K., Schron, A., Ting, B. T. 1969. Calcium uptake in mitochondria and vesicles of heart and skeletal muscle in presence of potassium, sodium, K-strophanthin and pentobarbital. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 18: 1335-45
  53. Banks, P. 1967. The effect of ouabain on the secretion of catecholamines and on the intracellular concentration of potassium. *J. Physiol. London* 193:631-37
  54. Levin, S. R., Booker, J., Smith, D. F., Grodsky, G. M. 1970. Inhibition of insulin secretion by diphenylhydantoin in the isolated perfused pancreas. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 30:400-1
  55. Russell, J. T., Thorn, N. A. 1974. Calcium and stimulus-secretion coupling in the neurohypophysis. II. Effects of lanthanum, a verapamil analogue (D-600) and prenylamine on 45-calcium transport and vasopressin release in isolated

- rat neurohypophyses. *Acta Endocrinol.* 75:471-87
56. Pinto, J. E. B., Trifaró, J. M. 1976. The different effects of D-600 (methoxyverapamil) on the release of adrenal catecholamines induced by acetylcholine, high potassium or sodium deprivation. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 57:127-32
  57. Baker, P. F., Rink, T. J. 1975. Catecholamine release from bovine adrenal medulla in response to maintained depolarization. *J. Physiol. London* 253:593-620
  58. Poisner, A. M. 1973. In *Frontiers in Catecholamine Research*, ed. E. Usdin, S. Snyder, 477-82 New York: Pergamon. 1219 pp.
  59. Brisson, G. R., Malaisse-Lagae, F., Malaisse, W. J. 1972. The stimulus-secretion coupling of glucose-induced release. *J. Clin. Invest.* 51:232-41
  60. Graumann, W. 1956. Beobachtungen über Bildung und Sekretion peridotreaktiver Stoffe im Nebennierenmark des Goldhamsters. *Z. Anat. Entwicklungsgesch.* 119:415-30
  61. Franzen, D. 1964. Beiträge zur morphologie und chemohistologie des Nebennierenmarks des Goldhamsters (*Mesocricetus auratus*). *Anat. Anz.* 115:35-38
  62. Cramer, W. 1918. Further observations on the thyroid-adrenal apparatus. A histochemical method for the demonstration of the adrenalin granules in the suprarenal gland. *J. Physiol. London* 52:viii-x
  63. Hillarp, N. Å. 1960. Different pools of catecholamines stored in the adrenal medulla. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 50:8-22
  64. Thorn, N. A. 1965. Role of calcium in the release of vasopressin and oxytocin from posterior pituitary protein. *Acta Endocrinol.* 50:357-64
  65. Blaschko, H., Welch, A. D. 1953. Localization of adrenaline in cytoplasmic particles of the bovine adrenal medulla. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Exp. Pathol. Pharmacol.* 219:17-22
  66. Lever, J. D., Findlay, J. A. 1966. Similar structural basis for the storage and release of secretory material in adrenomedullary and  $\beta$ -pancreatic cells. *Z. Zellforsch. Mikrosk. Anat.* 74:317-24
  67. De Robertis, E., Vaz Ferreira, A. 1957. Electron microscope study of the secretion of catechol-containing droplets in the adrenal medulla. *Exp. Cell Res.* 12:568-74
  68. Diner, O. 1967. L'expulsion des granules de la médulla-surrénale chez le hamster. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 265:616-19
  69. Nagasawa, J., Douglas, W. W., Schulz, R. A. 1970. Ultrastructural evidence of secretion by exocytosis and of "synaptic vesicle" formation in posterior pituitary glands. *Nature* 227:407-9
  70. Gómez-Acebo, J., Parrilla, R., Candela, L. R. 1968. Fine structure of the A and D cells of the rabbit endocrine pancreas *in vivo* and incubated *in vitro*. I. Mechanism of secretion of the A cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 36:33-44
  71. Lacy, P. E. 1961. Electron microscopy of the beta cell of the pancreas. *Am. J. Med.* 31:851-59
  72. Farquhar, M. G. 1969. In *Lysosomes in Biology and Pathology*, ed. J. T. Dingle, H. B. Fell, 2:462-82. Amsterdam: North-Holland. 668 pp.
  73. Rennels, E. G., Shiino, M. 1968. Ultrastructural manifestations of pituitary release of ACTH in the rat. *Arch. Anat. Histol. Embryol.* 51:575-90
  74. Farquhar, M. G. 1961. Origin and fate of secretory granules in cells of the anterior pituitary gland. *Trans. NY Acad. Sci.* 23:346-51
  75. Smith, R. E., Farquhar, M. G. 1966. Lysosome function in the regulation of the secretory process in cells of the anterior pituitary gland. *J. Cell Biol.* 31:319-47
  76. Farquhar, M. G., Skutelsky, E. H., Hopkins, E. R. 1975. See Ref. 10, pp. 83-135
  77. Farquhar, M. G. 1971. Processing of secretory products by cells of the anterior pituitary gland. *Mem. Soc. Endocrinol.* 19:79-122
  78. Pelletier, G., Peillon, F., Vila-Porcile, E. 1971. An ultrastructural study of sites of granule extrusion in the anterior pituitary of the rat. *Z. Zellforsch. Mikrosk. Anat.* 115:501-7
  79. Palade, G. E. 1959. In *Subcellular Particles*, ed. T. Hayashi, pp. 64-83. New York: Ronald. 213 pp.
  80. Banks, P., Helle, K. 1965. The release of protein from the stimulated adrenal medulla. *Biochem. J.* 97:40C-41C
  81. Douglas, W. W., Poisner, A. M., Rubin, R. P. 1965. Efflux of adenine nucleotides from perfused adrenal glands exposed to nicotine and other chromaffin cell stimulants. *J. Physiol. London* 179:130-37
  82. Viveros, O. H., Arqueros, L., Kirshner, N. 1968. Release of catecholamines and dopamine  $\beta$ -oxidase from the adrenal medulla. *Life Sci.* 7:609-18



83. Poisner, A. M., Trifaró, J. M., Douglas, W. W. 1967. The fate of the chromaffin granule during catecholamine release from the adrenal medulla. II. Loss of protein and retention of lipid in subcellular fractions. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 16:2101-8
84. Viveros, O. H., Arqueros, L., Connett, R. J., Kirshner, N. 1969. Mechanism of secretion from the adrenal medulla. 4. The fate of the storage vesicles following insulin and reserpine administration. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 5:69-82
85. Trifaró, J. M. 1973. See Ref. 58, pp. 501-3
86. Viveros, O. H., Arqueros, L., Kirshner, N. 1969. Quantal secretion from adrenal medulla: all or none release of storage vesicle content. *Science* 165:911-13
87. Uttenthal, L. O., Livett, B. G., Hope, D. B. 1971. Release of neurophysin together with vasopressin by a  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ -dependent mechanism. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London Ser. B* 261:379-80
88. Nordmann, J. J., Dreifuss, J. J., Legros, J. J. 1971. A correlation of release of "polypeptide hormones" and of immunoreactive neurophysin from isolated rat neurohypophyses. *Experientia* 27:1344-45
89. Rubenstein, A. H., Clark, J. L., Malani, F., Steiner, D. F. 1969. Secretion of proinsulin C-peptide by pancreatic  $\beta$  cells and its circulation in blood. *Nature* 224:697-99
90. Fillion, G. M. B., Slorach, S. A., Uvnäs, B. 1970. The release of histamine, heparin, and granule protein from rat mast cells treated with compound 48/80 *in vitro*. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 78:547-60
91. Trifaró, J. M., Poisner, A. M., Douglas, W. W. 1967. The fate of the chromaffin granule during catecholamine release from the adrenal medulla. I. Unchanged efflux of phospholipid and cholesterol. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 16:2095-2100
92. Schneider, F. H., Smith, A. D., Winkler, H. 1967. Secretion from the adrenal medulla: biochemical evidence for exocytosis. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 31:94-104
93. Edwards, B. A., Edwards, M. E., Thorn, N. A. 1973. The release *in vitro* of vasopressin unaccompanied by the axoplasmic enzymes: lactic acid dehydrogenase and adenylate kinase. *Acta Endocrinol.* 72:417-24
94. Matthews, E. K., Legros, J. J., Grau, J. D., Nordmann, J. J., Dreifuss, J. J. 1973. Release of neurohypophysial hormones by exocytosis. *Nature* 241:86-88
95. Kirshner, N., Sage, H. J., Smith, W. J., Kirshner, A. G. 1966. Release of catecholamines and specific protein from adrenal glands. *Science* 154:529-31
96. Tixier-Vidal, A., Picart, R. 1971. Electron microscopic localization of glycoproteins in pituitary cells of duck and quail. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 19:775-97
97. Fawcett, D. W., Long, J. A., Jones, A. L. 1969. The ultrastructure of endocrine glands. *Recent Prog. Horm. Res.* 25:315-68
98. Lazarus, S. S., Shapiro, S., Volk, B. W. 1968. Secretory granule formation and release in rabbit pancreatic A-cells. *Diabetes* 17:152-60
99. Howell, S. L., Kostianovsky, M., Lacy, P. E. 1969. Beta granule formation in isolated islets of Langerhans. A study by electron microscopic radioautography. *J. Cell Biol.* 42:695-705
100. Kobayashi, S., Fujita, T. 1969. Fine structure of mammalian and avian pancreatic islets with special reference to D cells and nervous elements. *Z. Zellforsch. Mikrosk. Anat.* 100:340-63
101. De Robertis, E., Sabatini, D. D. 1960. Submicroscopic analysis of the secretory process in the adrenal medulla. *Fed. Proc.* 19:Suppl. 5, pp. 70-78
102. Coupland, R. E. 1972. See Ref. 6, pp. 16-45
103. Sachs, H., Fawcett, P., Takabatake, Y., Portanova, R. 1969. Biosynthesis and release of vasopressin and neurophysin. *Recent Prog. Horm. Res.* 25:447-84
104. Whaley, W. G., Dauwalder, M., Kephart, J. E. 1972. Golgi apparatus: Influence on cell surfaces. *Science* 175:596-99
105. Morré, D. J., Keenan, T. W., Huang, C. M. 1974. See Ref. 4, pp. 107-25
106. Jamieson, J. D., Palade, G. E. 1967. Intracellular transport of secretory proteins in the pancreatic exocrine cell. I. Role of the peripheral elements of Golgi complex. *J. Cell Biol.* 34:577-96
107. Hopkins, C. R. 1972. The biosynthesis, intracellular transport, and packaging of melanocyte-stimulating peptides in the amphibian pars intermedia. *J. Cell Biol.* 53:642-53
108. Caro, L. G., Palade, G. E. 1964. Protein synthesis, storage and discharge in the pancreatic exocrine cell. An autoradiography study. *J. Cell Biol.* 20:473-95
109. Castle, J. D., Jamieson, J. D., Palade, G. E. 1972. Radioautographic analysis of the secretory process in the parotid

- acinar cell of the rabbit. *J. Cell Biol.* 53:290-311
110. Howell, S. L. 1972. Role of ATP in the intracellular translocation of proinsulin and insulin in the rat pancreatic  $\beta$  cell. *Nature* 235:85-86
  111. Sachs, H., Takabatake, Y. 1964. Evidence for a precursor in vasopressin biosynthesis. *Endocrinology* 75:943-48
  112. Burford, G. D., Jones, C. W., Pickering, B. T. 1971. Tentative identification of a vasopressin-neurophysin and an oxytocin-neurophysin in the rat. *Biochem. J.* 124:809-13
  113. Winkler, H., Hörtnagl, H., Schöpf, J. A. L., Zur Nedden, G. 1971. Bovine adrenal medulla: Synthesis and secretion of radioactively labelled catecholamines and chromogranins. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.* 271: 193-203
  114. Winkler, H., Schöpf, J. A. L., Hörtnagl, H., Hörtnagl, H. 1972. Bovine adrenal medulla: Subcellular distribution of newly synthesized catecholamines, nucleotides, and chromogranins. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.* 273: 43-61
  115. Malamed, S., Poisner, A. M., Trifaró, J. M., Douglas, W. W. 1968. The fate of the chromaffin granule during catecholamine release from the adrenal medulla. III. Recovery of a purified fraction of electron-translucent structures. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 17:241-46
  116. Viveros, O. H., Arqueros, L., Kirshner, N. 1969. Mechanism of secretion from the adrenal medulla. 5. Retention of storage vesicle membranes following release of adrenaline. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 5:342-49
  117. Trifaró, J. M., Duerr, A. C. 1976. Isolation and characterization of a Golgi-rich fraction from the adrenal medulla. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 421:153-67
  118. Trifaró, J. M., Duerr, A. C., Pinto, J. E. B. 1976. Membranes of the adrenal medulla: A comparison between the membranes of the Golgi apparatus and chromaffin granules. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 12:536-45
  119. Benedeczy, I., Smith, A. D. 1972. Ultrastructural studies on the adrenal medulla of golden hamster: origin and fate of secretory granules. *Z. Zellforsch. Mikrosk. Anat.* 124:367-86
  120. Baker, B. L. 1974. See Ref. 35, pp. 191-224
  121. Pictet, R., Rutter, W. J. 1972. In *Handb. Physiology, Endocrinology* 1: 25-66
  122. Douglas, W. W., Nagasawa, J., Schulz, R. A. 1971. Coated microvesicles in neurosecretory terminals of posterior pituitary glands shed their coats to become smooth "synaptic" vesicles. *Nature* 232:340-41
  123. Nagasawa, J., Douglas, W. W. 1972. Thorium dioxide uptake into adrenal medullary cells and the problem of re-capture of granule membrane following exocytosis. *Brain Res.* 37:141-45
  124. Orci, L., Junod, A., Pictet, R., Renold, A. E., Rouiller, C. 1968. Granulolysis in A cells of endocrine pancreas in spontaneous and experimental diabetes in animals. *J. Cell Biol.* 38:462-66
  125. Rufener, C. 1973. Autophagy of secretory granules in the rat neurohypophysis. *Neuroendocrinology* 13:314-20
  126. Holtzman, E., Dominitz, R. 1968. Cytochemical studies of lysosomes, Golgi apparatus and endoplasmic reticulum in secretion and protein uptake by adrenal medulla cells of the rat. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 16:320-36
  127. Blaschko, H., Jerrome, D. W., Robb-Smith, A. H. T., Smith, A. D., Winkler, H. 1968. Biochemical and morphological studies on catecholamine storage in human pheochromocytoma. *Clin. Sci.* 34:453-65
  128. Hokin, L. E. 1951. The synthesis and secretion of amylase by pigeon pancreas *in vitro*. *Biochemistry* 48:320-26
  129. Coore, H. G., Randle, P. J. 1964. Regulation of insulin secretion studied with pieces of rabbit pancreas incubated *in vitro*. *Biochem. J.* 93:66-78
  130. Douglas, W. W., Ishida, A., Poisner, A. M. 1965. The effect of metabolic inhibitors on the release of vasopressin from the isolated neurohypophysis. *J. Physiol. London* 181:753-59
  131. Kirshner, N., Smith, W. J. 1969. Metabolic requirements for secretion from the adrenal medulla. *Life Sci.* 8(part 1): 799-803
  132. Trifaró, J. M., Poisner, A. M. 1967. The role of ATP and ATPase in the release of catecholamines from the adrenal medulla. II. ATP-evoked fall in optical density of isolated chromaffin granules. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 3:572-80
  133. Poisner, A. M., Trifaró, J. M. 1967. The role of ATP and ATPase in the release of catecholamines from the adrenal medulla. I. ATP-evoked release of catecholamines, ATP, and protein from isolated chromaffin granules. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 3:561-71

134. Trifaró, J. M., Dworkind, J. 1971. Phosphorylation of membrane components of adrenal chromaffin granules by adenosine triphosphate. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 7:52-65
135. Dworkind, J., Trifaró, J. M. 1971. Chromaffin granules: Effects of ions and ATP on catecholamine content, ATPase activity, and membrane phosphorylation. *Experientia* 27:1277-79
136. Banks, P. 1966. An interaction between chromaffin granules and calcium ions. *Biochem. J.* 101:18C-20C
137. Vilhardt, H., Jørgensen, T. 1972. Free flow electrophoresis of isolated secretory granules from bovine neurohypophyses. *Experientia* 28:852-53
138. Woodin, A. M., French, J. E., Marchesi, V. T. 1963. Morphological changes associated with the extrusion of protein induced in the polymorphonuclear leucocyte by staphylococcal leucocidin. *Biochem. J.* 87:567-71
139. Abood, L. G. 1966. Interrelationships between phosphates and calcium in bioelectric phenomena. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 9:223-61
140. Trifaró, J. M. 1972. Solubilization and partial characterization of the phosphorylated component(s) of the membrane of adrenal chromaffin granules. *FEBS Lett.* 23:237-40
141. Trifaró, J. M., Dworkind, J. 1975. Phosphorylation of the membrane components of chromaffin granules: Synthesis of diphosphatidylinositol and presence of phosphatidylinositol kinase in granule membranes. *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 53:479-92
142. Buckley, J. T., Hawthorne, J. N. 1972. Erythrocyte membrane polyphosphoinositide metabolism and the regulation of calcium binding. *J. Biol. Chem.* 247:7218-23
143. Yoshida, H., Miki, N., Ishida, H., Yamamoto, T. 1968. Release of amylase from zymogen granules by ATP and a low concentration of  $\text{Ca}^{++}$ . *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* 158:489-90
144. Matsuda, T., Hata, F., Yoshida, H. 1968. Stimulatory effect of  $\text{Na}^+$  and ATP on the release of acetylcholine from synaptic vesicles. *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* 150:739-41
145. Poisner, A. M., Douglas, W. W. 1968. A possible mechanism of release of posterior pituitary hormones involving adenosine triphosphate and an adenosine triphosphatase in neuro-secretory granules. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 4:531-40
146. Howell, S. L., Young, D. A., Lacy, P. E. 1969. Isolation and properties of secretory granules from rat islets of Langerhans. III. Studies of the stability of isolated Beta granules. *J. Cell Biol.* 41:167-76
147. Hellman, B., Täljedal, I. B. 1970. Solubilizing effect of nucleotides on isolated insulin secretory granules. *J. Cell Biol.* 47:289-93
148. Labrie, F., Lemaire, S., Poirier, G., Pelletier, G., Boucher, R. 1971. Adenohypophyseal secretory granules. I. Their phosphorylation and association with protein kinase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 246:7311-17
149. Lambert, M., Camus, J., Christophe, J. 1974. Phosphorylation of protein components of isolated zymogen granule membranes from the rat pancreas. *FEBS Lett.* 49:228-32
150. Oka, M., Izumi, F., Kashimoto, T. 1972. Effects of cytoplasmic and microsomal fractions on  $\text{ATP-Mg}^{++}$  stimulated catecholamine release from isolated adrenomedullary granules. *Jpn. J. Pharmacol.* 22:207-14
151. Lacy, P. E., Howell, S. L., Young, D. A., Fink, C. J. 1968. New hypothesis of insulin secretion. *Nature* 219:1177-79
152. Poisner, A. M., Bernstein, J. 1971. A possible role of microtubules in catecholamine release from the adrenal medulla: effect of colchicine, vinca alkaloids and deuterium oxide. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 171:102-8
153. Labrie, F., Gauthier, M., Pelletier, G., Borgeat, P., Lemay, A., Gouge, J. J. 1973. Role of microtubules in basal and stimulated release of growth hormone and prolactin in rat adenohypophysis *in vitro*. *Endocrinology* 93:903-14
154. Lacy, P. E., Greider, M. H. 1972. See Ref. 121, pp. 77-89
155. Grodsky, G. M., Landahl, H., Curry, D., Bennett, L. 1969. In *Structure and Metabolism of the Pancreatic Islets*, ed. S. Falkmer, B. Hellman, I. B. Täljedal, 409-20. Oxford: Pergamon. 568 pp.
156. Hoshi, M., Shreeve, W. W. 1973. Release and production of insulin by isolated, perfused rat pancreatic islets. *Diabetes* 22:16-24
157. Randle, P. J., Hales, C. N. 1972. See Ref. 121, pp. 219-35
158. Pipeleers, D. G., Pipeleers-Marichal, M. A., Kipnis, D. M. 1976. Microtubule assembly and intracellular transport of secretory granules in pancreatic islets. *Science* 191:88-90

159. Lacy, P. E., Walker, M. M., Fink, J. 1972. Perifusion of isolated rat islets *in vitro*. *Diabetes* 21:987-98
160. Weisenberg, R. C. 1972. Microtubule formation *in vitro* in solutions containing low calcium concentrations. *Science* 177:1104-5
161. Shelanski, M. L. 1973. Chemistry of the filaments and tubules of brain. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 21:529-39
162. Kraicer, J., Milligan, J. W. 1971. Effect of colchicine on *in vitro* ACTH release induced by high K<sup>+</sup> and by hypothalamus-stalk-median eminence extract. *Endocrinology* 89:408-12
163. Douglas, W. W., Sorimachi, M. 1972. Effects of cytochalasin B and colchicine on secretion of posterior pituitary and adrenal medullary hormones. *Br. J. Pharmacol. Chemother.* 45:143-44
164. Sundberg, D. K., Krulich, L., Fawcett, C. P., Illner, P., McCann, S. M. 1973. The effect of colchicine on the release of rat anterior pituitary hormones *in vitro*. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* 142:1097-1100
165. Temple, R., Williams, J. A., Wilber, J. F., Wolff, J. 1972. Colchicine and hormone secretion. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 46:1454-61
166. Jamieson, J. D. 1972. Transport and discharge of exportable proteins in pancreatic exocrine cells: *In vitro* studies. *Curr. Top. Membr. Transp.* 3:273-338
167. Stadler, J., Franke, W. W. 1974. Characterization of the colchicine binding of membrane fractions from rat and mouse liver. *J. Cell Biol.* 60:297-303
168. Mizel, S. B., Wilson, L. 1972. Nucleoside transport in mammalian cells. Inhibition by colchicine. *Biochemistry* 11:2573-78
169. Spoor, R. P., Ferguson, F. C. 1965. Colchicine. IV. Neuromuscular transmission in isolated frog and rat tissues. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 54:779-80
170. Trifaró, J. M., Collier, B., Lastowecka, A., Stern, D. 1972. Inhibition by colchicine and by vinblastine of acetylcholine-induced catecholamine release from the adrenal gland: an anticholinergic action, not an effect upon microtubules. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 8:264-67
171. Douglas, W. W., Sorimachi, M. 1972. Colchicine inhibits adrenal medullary secretion evoked by acetylcholine without affecting that evoked by potassium. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 45:129-32
172. Lagunoff, D., Chi, E. Y. 1975. Effect of colchicine on mast cell secretion stimulated by polymyxin B and A23187. *J. Cell Biol.* 67:230a (Abstr.)
173. Poisner, A. M. 1970. Actomyosin-like protein from the adrenal medulla. *Fed. Proc.* 29:545 (Abstr.)
174. Trifaró, J. M., Ulpian, C. 1975. Actomyosin-like protein isolated from the adrenal medulla. *FEBS Lett.* 57:198-202
175. Berl, S., Puszkín, S., Nicklas, W. J. 1973. Actomyosin-like protein in brain. *Science* 179:441-46
176. Gabbiani, G., Malaisse-Lagae, F., Blondel, B., Orci, L. 1974. Actin in pancreatic islet cells. *Endocrinology* 95:1630-35
177. Phillips, J. H., Slater, A. 1975. Actin in the adrenal medulla. *FEBS Lett.* 56:327-31
178. Ulpian, C., Trifaró, J. M. 1976. Isolation of myosin from the adrenal medulla. *Can. Fed. Biol. Soc. Meet.*, p. 178 (Abstr.)
179. Burridge, K., Slater, J. H. 1975. Association of actin and myosin with secretory granule membranes. *Nature* 254:526-29
180. Brooks, J. C., Siegel, F. L. 1973. Purification of a calcium-binding phosphoprotein from beef adrenal medulla. *J. Biol. Chem.* 248:4189-93
181. LeBeux, Y. J., Willemot, J. 1975. An ultrastructural study of the microfilaments in rat brain by means of E-PTA staining and heavy meromyosin labeling. II. The Synapses. *Cell Tissue Res.* 160:37-68
182. Wessels, N. K., Spooner, B. S., Ash, J. F., Bradley, M. O., Ludueña, M. A., Taylor, E. L., Wrenn, J. T., Yamada, K. M. 1971. Microfilaments in cellular and developmental processes. *Science* 171:135-43
183. Mizel, S. B., Wilson, L. 1972. Inhibition of the transport of several hexoses in mammalian cells by cytochalasin B. *J. Biol. Chem.* 247:4102-5
184. Nakazato, Y., Douglas, W. W. 1973. Cytochalasin blocks sympathetic ganglionic transmission: a presynaptic effect antagonized by pyruvate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 70:1730-33
185. Sanger, J. W., Sanger, J. M. 1975. States of actin and cytochalasin-B. *J. Cell Biol.* 67:381a (Abstr.)
186. Pollard, T. D., Weihing, R. R. 1974. Actin and myosin and cell movement. *CRC Crit. Rev. Biochem.* 2:1-65
187. Lazarides, E., Weber, K. 1974. Actin antibody: The specific visualization of actin filaments in non-muscle cells.

- Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 71:2268-72
188. Sanger, I. W. 1975. Changing patterns of actin localization during cell division. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 72:1913-16
  189. Douglas, W. W., Poisner, A. M., Trifaró, J. M. 1966. Lysolecithin and other phospholipids in the adrenal medulla of various species. *Life Sci.* 5:809-15
  190. Blaschko, H., Firemark, H., Smith, A. D., Winkler, H. 1967. Lipids of the adrenal medulla: lysolecithin, a characteristic constituent of chromaffin granules. *Biochem. J.* 104:545-49
  191. Trifaró, J. M. 1969. Phospholipid metabolism and adrenal medullary activity. I. The effect of acetylcholine on tissue uptake and incorporation of orthophosphate-<sup>32</sup>P into nucleotides and phospholipids of bovine adrenal medulla. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 5:382-93
  192. Trifaró, J. M. 1969. The effect of Ca<sup>2+</sup> omission in the secretion of catecholamines and the incorporation of orthophosphate-<sup>32</sup>P into nucleotides and phospholipids of bovine adrenal medulla during acetylcholine stimulation. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 5:420-31
  193. Lucy, J. A. 1970. The fusion of biological membranes. *Nature* 227:815-17
  194. Koenig, H. 1974. See Ref. 4, pp. 273-301
  195. Dean, P. M. 1975. Exocytosis modeling: An electrostatic function for calcium in stimulus-secretion coupling. *J. Theor. Biol.* 54:289-308
  196. Dean, P. M., Matthews, E. K. 1975. The London-Van der Waals attraction constant of secretory granules and its significance. *J. Theor. Biol.* 54:309-21
  197. Poste, G. 1971. Membrane fusion reaction: A theory. *J. Theor. Biol.* 32:165-84